|                                 | Case 2:20-cv-01829-TLN-CKD Docum   | ent 8 | Filed 12/29/20   | Page 1 of 2    |
|---------------------------------|--|-------|------------------|----------------|
| 1                               |  |       |                  |                |
| 2                               |  |       |                  |                |
| 3                               |  |       |                  |                |
| 4                               |  |       |                  |                |
| 5                               |  |       |                  |                |
| 6                               |  |       |                  |                |
| 7                               |  |       |                  |                |
| 8                               | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT   |       |                  |                |
| 9                               | FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA   |       |                  |                |
| 10                              |  |       |                  |                |
| 11                              | ROBERT KITCHENS,   | No    | . 2:20-cv-1829 T | LN CKD P       |
| 12                              | Plaintiff,   |       |                  |                |
| 13                              | V.   | FIN   | NDINGS AND RI    | ECOMMENDATIONS |
| 14                              | RIO COSUMNES CORRECTIONAL<br>CENTER,   |       |                  |                |
| <ul><li>15</li><li>16</li></ul> | Defendant.   |       |                  |                |
| 17                              | By order filed November 10, 2020, plaintiff's complaint was dismissed and thirty days            |       |                  |                |
| 18                              | leave to file an amended complaint was granted. The thirty day period has now expired, and       |       |                  |                |
| 19                              | plaintiff has not filed an amended complaint.  |       |                  |                |
| 20                              | IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without prejudice. See                    |       |                  |                |
| 21                              | Local Rule 110; Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).   |       |                  |                |
| 22                              | These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge             |       |                  |                |
| 23                              | assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within fourteen after |       |                  |                |
| 24                              | being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written objections with |       |                  |                |
| 25                              | the court. The document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and       |       |                  |                |
| 26                              | Recommendations." Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the specified time |       |                  |                |
| 27                              | /////  |       |                  |                |
| 28                              | /////  |       |                  |                |
|                                 |  | 1     |                  |                |

## Case 2:20-cv-01829-TLN-CKD Document 8 Filed 12/29/20 Page 2 of 2 waives the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991). Dated: December 28, 2020 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE kitc1829.fta